Great journey of Great Tits (Parus major group): Origin, diversification and historical demographics of a broadly distributed bird lineage
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Abstract
Aim: The Pleistocene glacial cycles play a prominent role in shaping phylogeographical patterns of organisms, while few studies have focused on the regional difference of glacial effects. By acquiring comprehensive knowledge of the origin, diversification and historical demography of an intensively studied passerine species complex, Great Tit, we aim to test the regional variation of the Late Pleistocene glaciation impacts on this widely distributed bird lineage.

Location: Eurasia and associated peninsulas and archipelagos.

Taxa: Parus major species complex.

Methods: Phylogeny, divergence times and demographic dynamics were estimated with Bayesian methods. Population structure, genetic diversity and correlation between genetic and physical distances were estimated based on mtDNA variation. Glacial-to-present distributional changes were assessed via ecological niche modelling (ENM).

Results: Five major clades (Central Asia, Eastern Asia, Eastern Himalaya, Northern and Western Eurasia and Southern Asia) were detected, with divergence times ranging 1.57–0.50 million years ago. Genetic diversity values and Bayesian skyline plots suggest that the three eastern clades had a deeper population history. A more
complex geographic structure was observed in East Asia. Demographic expansion during the last glacial cycle was indicated for all five clades. ENM results showed broad conservatism of traits related to climate tolerances, and generally broader and more continuous distributional patterns under glacial conditions.

Main Conclusions: The Great Tit complex probably originated in Southeast Asia. Geographic barriers, such as the deserts of Central Asia and the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau appear to be related to the lineage divergence. Late Pleistocene climate cycles influenced both demographic dynamics and divergence, especially in terms of east–west differences in relation to geographic complexity.
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INTRODUCTION

Contemporary biotas on Earth show influences of past climatic changes, particularly from glacial cycles over the past 2.5 million years (Hewitt, 2000; Lovette, 2005). Climate oscillations shape genetic diversity and provide isolation necessary for speciation (Hosner, Liu, Peterson, & Moyle, 2015; Klicka, Campillo, Manthey, & Qu, 2015; Lim, Zou, & Sheldon, 2015; Quan, Qu, & Lei, 2015; Reddy & Nyári, 2015). Impacts of climatic oscillations are variable, depending on many factors, including latitude, elevation, topography and the particular ecological requirements of species (Burbrik et al., 2016; Lovette, 2005; Qiao et al., 2016; Stewart, Lister, Barnes, & Dalén, 2010). Previous studies have indicated that populations of numerous species in Western Europe retreated southward dramatically during glacial periods (Pavlova, Rohwer, Drovetski, & Zink, 2006; Taberlet, Fumagalli, Wust-Saucy, & Cosson, 1998; Wang, Wijk, Braun, & Wink, 2017), whereas East Asian populations apparently showed more modest range shifts (Li et al., 2009; Li, Lin, et al., 2016; Song et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2012). However, our current understanding of influences of past climatic oscillations on species’ history is far from complete, requiring more detailed information for more taxa across broader geographic areas.

Although several studies have described phylogeographical patterns in widely distributed species (Dalén et al., 2005; Hung, Drovetski, & Zink, 2012; Taberlet, Fumagalli, Wust-Saucy, & Cosson, 1998; Wang, Wijk, Braun, & Wink, 2017), whereas East Asian populations apparently showed more modest range shifts (Li et al., 2009; Li, Lin, et al., 2016; Song et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2012). However, our current understanding of influences of past climatic oscillations on species’ history is far from complete, requiring more detailed information for more taxa across broader geographic areas.

Here, with an expanded sampling of populations, we re-analysed lineage diversification, geographic differentiation and historical demography of the Great Tit complex. This study focuses on three questions: (1) what factors drove lineage divergence in the Great Tit complex, (2) do regional differences in genetic structure among Great Tit populations relate to topographic complexity, and (3) do regional differences in Great Tit genetic structure relate to the severity of past climatic changes in different regions?
2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Samples collection and laboratory work

We assembled 123 fresh tissue or blood samples, 80 toepads, and 5 feather samples for sequencing. We examined these samples in combination with existing sequences of 132 individuals from Zhao et al. (2012), for a total of 340 samples from 67 geographic populations, covering most of the distribution of the complex (Figure 1, Table S1). We included single samples of _Periparus ater_, _Cyanistes cyanus_ and _Parus monticolus_ as outgroups.

Total genomic DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN) following manufacturer’s protocols. Feather and toepad extractions were conducted in the DNA Laboratory, Department of Bioinformatics and Genetics, Swedish Museum of Natural History. The same kit was used, but with slight modifications as follows: During the initial lysis step in 200 μl AL, 20 μl of 1 M DTT was added, followed with an incubation step at 72°C for 10 min. Subsequent extraction steps followed manufacturer’s protocols.

We obtained partial sequences of the mitochondrial cytochrome b (Cytb) and NADH dehydrogenase subunit 2 (ND2) genes, as well as the nuclear β-fibrinogen intron 5 (Fib5) and transforming growth factor beta 2 intron 5 (TGFB2), via polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification. For toepad and feather samples, multiple primer pairs were designed to amplify shorter fragments (180–200 bp) of each segment. All primers and amplifying conditions are summarized in Table S2. PCR products were purified using the QIAquickTM PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN). Sanger sequencing was carried out on ABI 377 automatic sequencer, following the ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing protocol. Sequences were aligned and checked in MEGAS (Tamura et al., 2011). For blood samples, we ruled out the possibility of Numt amplification based on (a) no stop codons were found in the sequences based on the vertebrate mitochondrial DNA coding table; (b) few or no sites showed ambiguous double peaks in the sequence trace file; (c) no ‘strange’ sequence with exceptional genetic variation was noted; and (d) the mtDNA phylogeny of the present study was generally consistent with previously published works. All sequences are accessible at GenBank (MT212810–MT213943).

2.2 | Genetic diversity

Two parameters of genetic diversity, haplotype diversity (Hd) and nucleotide diversity (Pi), were calculated in DNASP 5.0 (Librado & Rozas, 2009). To test population expansion, Fu’s Fs, and Tajima’s D were also computed in DNASP. For heterozygous nuclear sequences, haplotype phases were reconstructed via the PHASE algorithm (Stephens & Donnelly, 2003; Stephens, Smith, & Donnelly, 2001) in DNASP 5.0. Sequences with uncertain resolution probabilities (i.e. <80%) were deleted from subsequent analyses.

2.3 | Phylogeny and population structure

We used MrModelTest2 (Nylander, 2004) to select the most appropriate model of molecular sequence evolution for each gene via

FIGURE 1 Distributions and sampling sites of the Great Tit species complex. Sampling sites are marked by coloured dots with acronyms referring to locality names (see Table S1). The green shading indicates the probable extent of the range of Great Tits; colours of the dots represent the major clades: blue, Eastern Asia; brown, Central Asia; green, Eastern Himalaya; red, Southern Asia; and orange, Northern and Western Eurasia. Dashed lines in different colours and sizes show the major divergences between clades and associated time estimates.
the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). The maximum likelihood (ML) algorithm implemented in PhyML 3.0 (Guindon & Gascuel, 2003) and the Bayesian inference (BI) algorithm in BEAST 1.8 (Drummond, Suchard, Xie, & Rambaut, 2012) were used to re-construct phylogenetic relationship. Nonparametric bootstrapping (1,000 replicates) on the ML tree was used to evaluate nodal support among branches. For Bayesian analyses, we applied a constant-growth coalescent tree prior for phylogenetic reconstruction, and ran Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations with 100 million generations with a relaxed log-normal clock model. Convergence of posterior distributions of parameter estimates was evaluated by monitoring effective sample sizes (ESS) over 200 and trace plots were made in Tracer 1.6 (Rambaut, Suchard, Xie, & Drummond, 2014). The same BEAST file was run three times to ensure the consistency of results.

For nuclear DNA, we applied coalescent-based species tree estimation using *BEAST in BEAST 2 (Bouckaert et al., 2014). We un-linked the substitution models of the two nuclear introns, and set substitution parameters for each according to MrModeltest2 results. We implemented a piecewise linear and constant root model as the species tree prior, and used default molecular clock settings in major lineage identification. MCMC chains ran for 500 million generations, sampling every 5,000 generations. The first 10% of samples was discarded as ‘burn-in’, and convergence of the MCMC chains was examined in Tracer 1.6.

We used Structure version 2.3 (Pritchard, Stephens, & Donnelly, 2000) to detect population structure based on information in the frequency of genotypes. The admixture model with correlated allele frequencies was applied, and sampling locality was set as a prior to augment signal in the results (Hubisz, Falush, Stephens, & Pritchard, 2009). Numbers of populations (K) were set at 2–5, and each K value was run 10 times. The most appropriate K was identified according to the ΔK method (Evanno, Regnaut, & Goudet, 2005). CLUMPP (Jakobsson & Rosenberg, 2007) was used to merge results from replicate analyses for each K, and DISTRUCT (Rosenberg, 2004) was used to output the bar plot for combined results.

With mtDNA, we computed mean distances within populations as a measure of nucleotide diversity (Nei & Kumar, 2000), and net average distance between populations in MEGA 5. Geographic distances between populations were calculated in the Geosphere R package. Isolation by distance was tested in each major lineage using Mantel tests in the ape R package (Paradis, Claude, & Strimmer, 2004), each with 10,000 permutations. We applied Wilcoxon non-parametric tests to evaluate significance of differences in pairwise distances between major geographic clades.

2.4 Estimation of divergence times and historical demography

We estimated divergence times between clades in BEAST 1.8. As no fossil record for Paridae or its relatives is available, the substitution rate adjustment would be a better way for divergence time estimation (Li et al., 2009). We used a substitution rate of 0.0105 substitutions/site/million years, the standard Cytb molecular clock (Weir & Schluter, 2008), and modified the value for combined mtDNA sequences as 0.0115 substitutions/site/million years following Song et al. (2015). MCMC chains were run for more than 100 million generations with a relaxed log-normal molecular clock model and a coalescent exponential growth tree prior. We used Tracer 1.6 to check the posterior distribution and ESSs, and TreeAnnotator 1.8 in the BEAST package to summarize trees with ‘mean height’. Trees and divergence times were visualized in Figtree 1.4.

Bayesian skyline plots (BSPs), an MCMC integration and coalescent-based method (Drummond, Rambaut, Shapiro, & Pybus, 2005), were used to estimate the changes in effective population size since the time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA). BSP analyses were carried out for each of the major regional clades in BEAST, based on mtDNA sequences. We applied a strict molecular clock model and a Bayesian skyline tree prior, as sequence substitutions were expected to be constant for each intraspecific lineage. We ran chains for 100 million generations or more until the ESS was >200, discarding the first 10% as ‘burn-in’. Results were summarized and displayed in Tracer 1.6.

2.5 Distributional changes

To explore whether lineage divergence, genetic differentiation and demographic dynamics responded to distribution dynamics of suitable habitat for the complex during Pleistocene glacial-interglacial cycles, we assessed those dynamics using ecological niche modelling (ENM). For development of detailed ENMs, crucial data inputs include occurrence data, environmental data and a priori hypotheses of areas that have been accessible to species over relevant time periods (Barve et al., 2011). For occurrence data, we drew 121,041 primary occurrence records from the eBird Basic Dataset (eBird, 2017), which we subjected to detailed procedures of data cleaning and filtering. First, we inspected data records for obvious geographic outliers, and compared maps of these records to range summaries available for the species complex (Gosler et al., 2007). To reduce the effects of spatial autocorrelation, we reduced data densities such that no pairs of points would be <50 km apart, using the ‘Spatially Rarefy Occurrence Data’ tool in SDM Toolbox v2.2b in ArcGIS v10.5.1. Additionally, since the data were heavily biased towards European records (Petersen & Anamza, 2015), we reduced data from that region still further, via random selection, striving for equal densities for each of the major clades, leaving a total of 1,112 records for actual use in analysis (Figure 2). A randomly selected half of these points was used for model calibration, and the other half was used for evaluating the predictions of the resulting models.

As an initial hypothesis of the accessible area (M) for Great Tit complex populations, we generated a 5° (~500 km) buffer around the 67 genotyped populations of the complex. We modified this initial hypothesis via careful consideration of finer-scale barriers, such as sea channels (Figure 2). To avoid analysis of so-called ‘Wallacean species’, which have distributional limits set by dispersal barriers rather than
by climatic or other environmental characteristics (Saupe et al. 2012), we analysed the entire complex, assuming negligible differentiation in niches between clades, which offered the important advantage of having a broader and more environmentally diverse accessible area.

To characterize environmental landscapes, we chose 15 ‘bio-climatic’ variables at a spatial resolution of 10′ (~17 km) from the WorldClim climate data archive (four variables including combinations of precipitation and temperature were excluded owing to known spatial artefacts: Bio8, Bio9, Bio18 and Bio19; Escobar, Lira-Noriega, Medina-Vogel, & Peterson, 2014). We used a principal components analysis (PCA) to reduce dimensionality of the environmental data (prcomp function; RStudio v 1.1.383), and selected the first eight components for analysis, as they explained >99% of the overall variance. We transferred the equations from the PCA onto a LGM (22,000 yr ago) climate scenario (CCSM4) to permit effective model transfers in a space with fewer environmental dimensions (Owens et al., 2013). These variables were projected onto a geographic extent using the ENMGadgets R package (Barve & Barve, 2013), considering broader LGM coastlines, and including North Africa, and all of Eurasia south and east to Wallace’s Line (Figure 2).

In a model selection exercise (Warren & Seifert, 2011), we assessed models including all 595 possible combinations of 5 response types (i.e. linear, quadratic, product, threshold and hinge), 17 regularization multiplier values (i.e. 0.1, 0.2, … 1, 2, … 6, 8, 10), and 7 sets of environmental data (i.e. PC 1–2, PC 1–3, and so on up to PC 1–8; Table S3). We used the kuenm R package (Cobos, Peterson, Barve, & Osorio-Olvera, 2019) to select as best models those model parameterizations that were statistically significant (partial ROC; Peterson, Papeš, & Soberón, 2008), showed <7% omission rates, and presented the lowest AICc values.

Resulting models were thresholded in ArcGIS to a 20% calibration omission threshold (5% and 10% thresholds were also explored, but only the 20% results are presented herein because results were closely similar). We combined binary versions of present and LGM distributional summaries to identify areas that have changed in suitability since LGM as suitable at LGM but not presently (range loss), or suitable now but not at LGM (range gain).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Nucleotide polymorphism and evolutionary properties

We amplified 722 bp of Cytb and 737 bp of ND2 from 340 individuals, 281 bp of Fib5 from 275 individuals, and 334 bp of TGFB2 from 279 individuals. For the concatenated mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) dataset, 160 haplotypes in total were defined, haplotype diversity (Hd) was 0.955, and nucleotide diversity (Pi) was 0.0197. Hd and Pi for Fib5 (0.722, 0.00493) and TGFB2 (0.941, 0.00989) were lower than those in the mtDNA. Tajima’s D and Fu’s Fs showed negative values for the mtDNA sequences, and the Fu’s Fs statistics were significant (p < .05) in ND2 and in mtDNA overall, indicating significant deviation from neutrality. For the two nuclear introns, we recorded a significant negative Tajima’s D value only for Fib5, implying less deviation from neutral evolution (Table 1).
3.2 | Phylogenetic structure and divergence times

The best fitting models were GTR + I + G for the combined mtDNA sequences, K80 + G for Fib5 and SYM + I + G for TGFB2. ML and BI analyses based on combined mitochondrial sequences produced closely similar tree topologies (Figure 3, Figure S1). Here, we present the BI-based phylogeny (Figure 3), which included five well-supported clades: the most broadly distributed clade (‘Northern and Western Eurasia’, NWE) was composed of individuals from western Europe, the Iberian Peninsula and North Africa, and populations from across the north part of Eurasia all the way to the Russian Far East, corresponding to the green-backed ‘nominate major group’ of Vaurie (1959). The Central Asia clade (CA), corresponding to the sometimes-recognized Parus bokharensis, included individuals from Uzbekistan, Tajikistan and the Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region of western China. NWE was most closely related to the CA clade, and the two were parapatric in Xinjiang (Figure 1).

The other widely distributed clade, Eastern Asia (EA), consisted of individuals from East Asia and Southeast Asia, including China (except Xinjiang, the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau, QTP, and neighbouring mountain regions; see below), South Korea, the Japanese Archipelago, the Indochinese Peninsula, Malaysia and Java. Vaurie (1959) placed the Chinese, Korean and Japanese populations in the ‘minor group’, whereas he placed the Indochinese, Malaysian and Javan populations in the ‘cinereus group’. The Eastern Himalaya (EH) clade was composed of individuals from north-western Sichuan, western Yunnan and south-eastern Tibet, spanning the eastern portion of the Himalayas; this clade corresponds to the subspecies tibetanus and subtibetanus within (Vaurie’s 1959) ‘minor group’. The Southern Asia (SA) clade contained individuals from Sri Lanka, the Indian Subcontinent, and parts of Afghanistan, corresponding to Vaurie’s (1959) ‘cinereus group’ (together with the Southeast Asian populations in our EA clade). The EA clade was sister to the SA clade, and EH was sister to those two clades.

### TABLE 1 Nucleotide polymorphism and results of neutrality tests for mitochondrial and nuclear genes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Hd</th>
<th>Pi</th>
<th>Tajima’s D</th>
<th>Fu’s Fs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytb</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
<td>-0.736</td>
<td>-2.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND2</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>0.0214</td>
<td>-0.512</td>
<td>-2.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined mtDNA</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1,459</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>0.0197</td>
<td>-0.631</td>
<td>-2.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGFB2</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>-1.375</td>
<td>0.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fib5</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>-2.149</td>
<td>-1.773</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: H, number of haplotypes; Hd, haplotype diversity; L, gene fragment length; N, sample size; Pi, nucleotide diversity.

FIGURE 3 Bayesian tree based on mtDNA sequence data. Values above branches at nodes indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities (PP), and values below the branches at nodes indicate the median value of the branch heights with 95% highest posterior distribution (HPD). The colours represent the major geographic clades.
We could not obtain a well-resolved phylogeny based only on the two nuclear introns, as "BEAST did not reach parameter convergence, even after 200 million generations. Structure results showed that the best clustering value based on the nuclear introns was 2 (Figure S2). At this value, the CA, NWE and SA clades grouped together, and the other cluster included the EA and EH clades (Figure 4).

The first split among Great Tit lineages was estimated to have occurred at ca. 1.57 (95% HPD: 1.01–2.22) million years ago (Ma), separating the eastern clades (EA, EH and SA) from the western clades (CA and NWE). The remaining divergence events were dated at 1.11 (95% HPD: 0.73–1.53), 0.90 (95% HPD: 0.56–1.27) and 0.50 (95% HPD: 0.29–0.82) Ma, which split EH from the other clades, SA from EA and CA from NWE respectively (Figure 3).

### 3.3 Genetic diversity among clades and populations

Pairwise genetic distances between populations within the CA clade were not computed, as this clade overlaps with the NWE clade at three localities in Xinjiang, leaving too-few populations for analysis. Among the remaining four clades, EA and SA exhibited higher pairwise distance values than NWE and EH. To assess west–east differences in population-genetic structure, we compared pairwise distance matrices between the NWE and EA clades, and found overall higher pairwise distances among sites within EA than within NWE ($W = 58,731, p < .001$, Figure 5). The higher pairwise distances within EA are likely related to structure resulting from multiple isolated island populations (Table S4). Within each clade, Mantel tests showed no sign of isolation-by-distance effects between genetic distances and geographic distances (Table 2).

Among the five regional clades, NWE showed the lowest genetic diversity ($Hd = 0.859, Pi = 0.175%$), followed by CA ($Hd = 0.917, Pi = 0.221%$); SA had the highest genetic diversity ($Hd = 1.000, Pi = 0.598%$) (Table 3). Among populations with sample sizes greater than 4 individuals, populations from Xinjiang had highest genetic diversity, likely in part thanks to contact between the divergent CA and NWE clades (Figure S3). High genetic diversity was also observed in populations of EH and SA, whereas populations from the central part of the NWE clade's distribution and the eastern part of the EA clade's distribution showed relatively low genetic diversity (Figure 5).

### 3.4 Historical demography

The BSP curves indicated that the SA clade had the oldest TMRCA among the five clades, and that eastern clades generally had longer population histories than did the western clades. However, significant population growth during the Late Pleistocene (i.e. after 0.1 Ma) was manifested in all five clades. EA showed the fastest population increase during the LGM (Figure 6).

### 3.5 Changing geographic distributions

Our ENM selection procedures examined a total of 3,451 candidate models, of which 13 were statistically significant and had acceptable omission rates (5.0%–6.8%); these models were generally based on the smaller sets of principal components (Table S3). We selected
SONG et al. models with the lowest AICc values among the models that met the significance and omission criteria (Table S3). The model with the lowest AICc had an unacceptably high omission rate (9.4%), and so was not included. The 11 models with lowest AICc values were similar in their structure (i.e. identifying a more restricted suitable area); varying the number of variables or inclusion of different features did not change their results markedly (Figure S4). These 11 models identified most of Western Europe, South Asia and Southeast Asia, and the Mediterranean coast of North Africa as suitable. However, one of these parametrizations (model 1l4, see Table S3) identified parts of the Sahara Desert as suitable, and all of them excluded most of Russia. The other two models (1qp6 and 1p3, both with regularization multiplier values of 1) were broader and more consistent, identifying most of Eurasia as suitable for the complex, but excluding the Arabian Peninsula, south-eastern India, and north-eastern Siberia; one model excluded the QTP as well (model 1qp6, Table S3, Figure S3).

Transferring models to LGM climate conditions showed greater environmental continuity and broader suitable areas for the complex across much of its range (Figure 7 and Figure S5).

**FIGURE 5** Genetic pairwise distance for populations within geographic lineages. The populations of Central Asia were excluded from analysis, as only two populations remained in this lineage when the contact zone populations were discarded. The subset shows bin counts of pairwise values for East Asia and Northern and Western Eurasia clades, with a bin size of pairwise distances of 0.0001. Wilcoxon tests of difference between the two pairwise distance matrices of the East Asia and Northern and Western Eurasia are shown.

**TABLE 2** Mantel test results of isolation by distance for geographic clades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>Population numbers</th>
<th>Z statistics</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Asia</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10419.41</td>
<td>.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Himalaya</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>58.05</td>
<td>.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern and Western Eurasia</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3702.22</td>
<td>.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Asia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>420.31</td>
<td>.199</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

models with the lowest AICc values among the models that met the significance and omission criteria (Table S3). The model with the lowest AICc had an unacceptably high omission rate (9.4%), and so was not included. The 11 models with lowest AICc values were similar in their structure (i.e. identifying a more restricted suitable area); varying the number of variables or inclusion of different features did not change their results markedly (Figure S4). These 11 models identified most of Western Europe, South Asia and Southeast Asia, and the Mediterranean coast of North Africa as suitable. However, one of these parametrizations (model 1l4, see Table S3) identified parts of the Sahara Desert as suitable, and all of them excluded most of Russia. The other two models (1qp6 and 1p3, both with regularization multiplier values of 1) were broader and more consistent, identifying most of Eurasia as suitable for the complex, but excluding the Arabian Peninsula, south-eastern India, and north-eastern Siberia; one model excluded the QTP as well (model 1qp6, Table S3, Figure S3).

Transferring models to LGM climate conditions showed greater environmental continuity and broader suitable areas for the complex across much of its range (Figure 7 and Figure S5).

**TABLE 3** Genetic parameters for regional clades

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clade</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Hd</th>
<th>Pi (%)</th>
<th>Tajima's D</th>
<th>Fu's Fs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Asia</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>-1.529</td>
<td>-1.956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Asia</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.879</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>-2.258**</td>
<td>-4.661**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Himalaya</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>-1.715</td>
<td>-1.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern and Western Eurasia</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>-2.346**</td>
<td>-4.756**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Asia</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>-0.794</td>
<td>-0.071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**indicates the p < .01**
Some suitability loss in the transition from LGM to present was noted along the southern fringes of the study area (i.e. in North Africa, Central Asia and India); in contrast, northern Eurasia showed gains in suitability over that time period, particularly in western Eurasia (Figure S6). We noted that suitability turnover varied among models as regards North Africa (e.g. in Morocco) and southern Spain, with some models showing loss and others showing stability.
4 | DISCUSSION

4.1 | Lineage divergence and systematics of the Great Tits

Despite a wealth of documentation of geographic variation in the form of recognized subspecies (Gosler et al., 2007) in the Great Tit complex, most of these described taxa present subtle and perhaps clinal variants in pigmentation, size and proportions, which may be artefacts of small sample sizes, or represent arbitrary delimitation or adaptive responses to local environmental conditions (Dufva & Allander, 1995; Hörak, Ots, Vellau, Spottiswoode, & Pape Møller, 2001; Slagsvold & Lifjeld, 1985; Zink & Vázquez-Miranda, 2019). Our results, based on more comprehensive geographic sampling than previous studies, showed five major clades with high support in mtDNA sequences, generally in line with previous results (Kivist et al., 2003; Päckert et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2012).

Although the Great Tit complex is rather young, it apparently includes some lineages that are at the early stages of the speciation process, and recently diverged taxa are generally difficult to classify or rank objectively. Our data do not support recognition of P. bokharensis as a distinct species (corroborating results presented by Päckert et al., 2005 based on analyses of mtDNA and songs). Although the ‘bokharensis group’ is clearly different from the adjacent ‘major group’ in plumage and structure (Gosler et al., 2007), the two groups apparently hybridize frequently, as suggested by our mtDNA data and also by field observations of assumed hybrids from several places (Harrap & Quinn 1995; Päckert et al., 2005; P.A. pers. obs.; Zhao et al., 2012). The ‘major’ and ‘minor’ groups’ come into contact in the Amur River area in the Russian Far East and adjacent parts of China; although they do hybridize, they appear to be at least partly reproductively isolated (see Päckert et al., 2005 and references therein). However, splitting the Great Tit complex into two species, corresponding to the two primary clades in the mtDNA tree, would be unsatisfactory in light of the conflict between the mtDNA tree and our Structure analyses of the nuclear introns regarding the SA clade. In other words, it would be unclear to which of the two species the taxa in the SA clade should belong. Genomic data and more intensive geographic sampling, especially from the southern part of the distribution of the complex, are needed to illuminate the relationships and evolutionary history of this complex.

Our results offer detailed scenarios about the Great Tit lineage divergence and the geographic distributions of those lineages. First, we confirmed the unique mtDNA clade first noted by Zhao et al. (2012), referred to here as the Eastern Himalaya clade. This clade is narrowly distributed along the eastern portion of the Himalaya range, and corresponding to subspecies tibetanus and subtibetanus in Vaurie’s (1959) ‘minor group’.

Second, we clarified the geographic range of the EA clade, which comprises individuals from China (except Xinjiang, the QTP, and the mountains along the eastern rim of the QTP), South Korea, the Japanese Archipelago, Indochina, Malaysia and Java. All could be included in the ‘minor group’ of Vaurie (1959). The phylogenetic position of populations of Vietnam, Malaysia and Java, indicates the need for a taxonomic revision of P. minor and P. cinereus as circumscribed by for example, Gill and Donsker (2019), and suggests a close relationship of the populations across all East Asia, despite differences in plumage and vocalizations (Gosler et al., 2007).

4.2 | Historical biogeography

The mtDNA phylogeny recovered five clades in the Great Tit complex, of which three are distributed in the east from South Asia through the eastern Himalaya to a broad area of the East Asian mainland. BSP results also showed longer TMRCA timescales for eastern clades than for western clades. Considering that the sister species (Green-backed Tit, Parus monticolus) is distributed in the eastern Himalayas, southern China and Taiwan (Johansson et al., 2013), Great Tits might have originated somewhere in the eastern Himalayas or southern parts of Asia, consistent with previous hypotheses (Johansson, Nylinder, Ohlson, & Tietze, 2018; Tietze & Borthakur, 2012).

The oldest divergence among clades (ca. 1.6 Ma) is between eastern/southern (EA, EH, SA) and western/northern (CA and NWE) clades. Similar divergence patterns have been noted in other avian taxa, such as Barn Swallows (Hirundo rustica; Zink, Pavlova, Rohwer, & Drovetski, 2006), White Wagtails (Motacilla alba; Li, Dong, et al., 2016) and magpies (Pica spp.; Song et al., 2018). This coincidence suggests a dominant geographic feature shaping phylogeographical structure. The arid desert region in Central Asia is a likely candidate barrier that could account for this dominant pattern of east-west divergence (Abramowski et al., 2006; Cai, Fang, Wu, Miao, & Appel, 2012; Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2012).

Subsequent divergence events for eastern clades (1.1 and 0.9 Ma) were dated as occurring earlier than divergence for western clades (ca. 0.5 Ma). The distribution of three lineages along the east to south edge of the Himalayas indicates that eastern Great Tit populations may have been structured by the topographic complexity of this area, which presents highly diversified habitats and environments (Le, Wei, Zhao, Yin, & Lu, 2007), and is well-known as ranking prominently among global biodiversity hotspots (Myers, Mittermeier, Mittermeier, Fonseca, & Kent, 2000). Lineage divergence in western populations seems affected instead by later climatic changes, especially the marked temperature oscillations during the Pleistocene. The comparatively narrower distribution and younger population history imply that the CA clade is recently derived. Great Tit populations likely persisted throughout the Pleistocene in isolated riparian woodlands in the montane foothill zone that forms the southern boundary of the arid lowlands from Turkestan to Kazakhstan (Pavlova et al., 2006) and later expanded in response to favourable climatic conditions.
4.3 | Geographic variation of glacial effects

In accordance with estimated divergence times, the BSP curves showed the longest population history in the SA clade, and second longest in the EA clade, whereas the NWE and CA clades displayed comparatively more shallow population histories (Figure 5). The same pattern was evident in inspections of pairwise genetic distances. Top pairwise distances within an area were related to island populations in East Asia (e.g. Japan and the Ryukyu Islands), indicating another important source of population structure and differentiation (Peterson et al., 2015).

Results of palaeovegetation studies indicate that glacial cover extended unevenly, and that vegetation types shrank southward during the LGM variably across Eurasia (Ashastina et al., 2018; Janská et al., 2017; Qian & Ricklefs, 2001; Svendsen et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2000). However, ENMs indicated greater environmental continuity and broader suitable areas of climate conditions for the Great Tit complex during the LGM (Figure 7, Figure S5). These findings are in line with scenarios for the sister species, the Green-backed Tit (Parus monticolus), for which the LGM distribution was estimated to be broader than during the Last Interglacial and under present climate conditions (Wang et al., 2013). Our results also suggest a northward shift of the suitable areas for Great Tits since the LGM. Demographic expansions since the last glacial cycle were recorded in all five clades, and the effective population sizes in southern and central Asia have been more stable than the East Asian relatives, yet the East Asian clade showed the steepest curve of recent population size increase.

One possible explanation for the significant population changes in East Asia is that the distribution of the EA clade is vast, covering an area from north-eastern China, South Korea and the Japanese Archipelago, to Malaysia, Java and Indochina. The marginal populations would shrink both in LGM (northern edge) and after the LGM (southern edge), according to our ENM results. Also, post-LGM sea-level shifts would result in greater isolation and therefore genetic structuring of East Asian island populations (Voris, 2000). Great Tits inhabit diverse forest types, from conifer forests and boreal taiga in Siberia, to dry deciduous forest in Myanmar and Thailand, and are common in gardens and parks in large parts of its range. This broad set of habitat associations assure that Great Tit populations would be stable or even expand, if forests developed or persisted during glacial periods. On the other hand, if vegetation changed from forest to grassland or vice versa (Harrison, Yu, Takahara, & Prentice, 2001; Yu et al., 2000), populations might respond more dramatically, either in population size or geographic range, which in turn would lead to more dramatic demographic signatures.

Consistent with these interpretations, genetic diversity was lower in populations of Mongolia, Siberia and the eastern periphery of the Chinese mainland, and higher in populations from the eastern edge of the Himalayas and Central Asia. It is not surprising that genetic diversity has been preserved in populations of the Indian Subcontinent, but the highest genetic diversity occurring in Xinjiang was startling. Some prominent geographic features in Central Asia, such as the Pamir Plateau, Taklimakan and Junggar Basin deserts, where suitable habitats are few and scattered for Great Tits both now and during the LGM, therefore would lead to a low genetic diversity in local populations. We propose that geographic complexity, such as river valleys alongside major mountain ranges, may have offered refugia or micro-refugia. Later secondary contact between the Central Asia and Northern and Western Eurasia clades have further contributed to elevating the genetic diversity in Xinjiang. On the other hand, for populations from Siberia, suitable areas appear to have shifted and reduced more dramatically, leading to more significant population changes over the end of the Pleistocene.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

With extensive geographic sampling across the range of the Great Tit complex, our results confirmed previous findings of five distinct mitochondrial lineages, a southern Asia origin, and subsequent expansion across the whole of Eurasia. We found a generally earlier set of divergence times between eastern lineages than between western lineages, yet our results showed a consistent increase in effective population size among lineages since the LGM, implying habitat changes as indicated by the ENM results. Geographic variation of glacial effects, related to geographic complexity and global climate dynamics, has functioned to create refugia in which ancient genetic diversity was able to persist in some regions.
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